

Methodological Protocol Peer Review and Open Consultation on Empowerment tools and Nature-Based Solutions.

Thank you for taking part in the peer-review of the methodological protocol developed by the selected expert working group to answer the Eklipse request on "HOW COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT TOOLS AND NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS (NBS) CAN CONTRIBUTE TO ADDRESSING COASTAL CHALLENGES AND BUILDING RESILIENT COMMUNITIES?"

The form has two main parts: a "general comments" part, and a "comments by section" part where you can provide more specific comments to each section of the protocol. Where possible, please provide page and line numbers so that we can ensure we match your comments to the text.

Eklipse is a science-policy mechanism in the public interest. The lawful basis for processing your personal data under the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) will be a public task. Our privacy policy (<http://eklipse.eu/privacy-policy/>) contains further information on the purpose and lawful basis for processing your personal data.

Please note the deadline for submitting comments is August 15th, 2023

Thank you very much for your support!

* Indicates required question

1. Email *

2. Last name *

3. First name *

4. Affiliation



General comments

Please provide your general comments on the protocol in this section

5. Is the text self-explanatory, free of jargon and easy to follow? If not, where do you see a need for language revision or more clarity? Please specify page and line numbers if possible.

6. Regarding the initial requests made to Eklipse to study the “Nexus between coastal challenges, ecosystem services and NBS” and to develop “An empowerment framework tailored to coastal resilience (including a review of existing empowerment tools)”, do you agree with the interpretation of and the refinement of the knowledge synthesis framework, and the combination in the following request: "How community empowerment tools and Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) can contribute to addressing coastal challenges and building resilient communities"?

7. Is the global methodological approach logical, well-formulated and appropriate? Please consider that the budget and timetable of this knowledge synthesis is limited (please read the timeline in page 22).

8. Is the combination of the two methods proposed (Rapid Evidence Assessment and Multi-criteria decision Analysis) appropriate and justified?

9. Is there an undue emphasis on one step/research question? If so, how might it be overcome? On the contrary, should one step/research question be looked into more?

10. Are appropriate and up-to-date sources used? Do you know of any additional sources, examples we could use and where (please be as precise as possible)?

11. Any further general comments:

12. Are you interested in the further development of the knowledge synthesis? *

Mark only one oval.

- No, I am not interested
- Yes, I am interested and would like to be contacted for the review of the evidence report
- Yes, I am interested and would like to receive the Eklipse news

13. Would you like to be acknowledged as a reviewer in the final report and how? *

Check all that apply.

- Yes (i.e. Last name, First name AND affiliation)
 Yes but WITHOUT my affiliation (i.e. only Last name and First name)
 Yes but only through my affiliation (i.e. NO name)
 No, I prefer to be anonymous

Comments by Section

Please provide your more specific comments on the protocol in this section

14. Glossary (page 4)

15. Introduction (pages 5-8)

16. Objectives (page 9)

17. Methodology, method selection workshop and methodological framework (pages 10-12)

18. Rapid Evidence Assessment (pages 12-15)

19. Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (pages 16-19)

20. Expected results (pages 20-21)

21. Timeline (page 22)

22. References (pages 24-26)

Thank you and next steps

23. How did you get to know about this call for review? *

Mark only one oval.

- Internet
- Eklipse website (www.eklipse.eu)
- Alternet website (www.alterneteurope.eu)
- EU Commission
- Oppla (www.oppla.eu)
- Email from Eklipse
- Colleague
- Meeting
- Newsletter
- LinkedIn
- Twitter
- Facebook
- Instagram
- Other: _____

24. Please tick the appropriate boxes: *

Check all that apply.

- I declare that the information provided above is, to my knowledge, true and complete.
- With the submission of tmy review, I also agree that generalized, anonymous information from the review may be used to statistically analyze the review process.

25. Many thanks for your input to the Eklipse review of the methodological protocol for the request on " Building resilient coastal communities through Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) and Empowerment Tools." All comments will be considered by the Expert Working Group and the final protocol will be posted on the Eklipse website of the EmpowerUs request page. All reviewers will be acknowledged in the final report of the group, unless you specify to the contrary.

Once the reviews are compiled, the expert group will start working on a draft synthesis report, which will also go out for review. If you indicated your interest in the further development of the knowledge synthesis earlier in the form, you will be contacted to participate to the Call for Review the final output.

If you would like to receive emails on Eklipse activities, calls and events, please join our Keep me Posted mailing list (<http://eepurl.com/hiZLMX>).

Do you have any other comment that you would like to share with the Eklipse EWG?

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms